Sample Exam – Questions

Sample Exam set A
Version 1.0

ISTQB® Expert Level Test Management Syllabus (CTEL-TM)

Expert Level

Compatible with Syllabus version 2.0

International Software Testing Qualifications Board



Version 1.0 Released 10. November 2026

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Copyright Notice

Copyright Notice © International Software Testing Qualifications Board (hereinafter called ISTQB®).

ISTQB® is a registered trademark of the International Software Testing Qualifications Board.

All rights reserved.

The authors hereby transfer the copyright to the ISTQB®. The authors (as current copyright holders) and ISTQB® (as the future copyright holder) have agreed to the following conditions of use:

Extracts, for non-commercial use, from this document may be copied if the source is acknowledged.

Any Accredited Training Provider may use this sample exam in their training course if the authors and the ISTQB® are acknowledged as the source and copyright owners of the sample exam and provided that any advertisement of such a training course is done only after official Accreditation of the training materials has been received from an ISTQB®-recognized Member Board.

Any individual or group of individuals may use this sample exam in articles and books, if the authors and the ISTQB® are acknowledged as the source and copyright owners of the sample exam.

Any other use of this sample exam is prohibited without first obtaining the approval in writing of the ISTQB®.

Any ISTQB®-recognized Member Board may translate this sample exam provided they reproduce the abovementioned Copyright Notice in the translated version of the sample exam.

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Document Responsibility

The ISTQB® Examination Working Group is responsible for this document.

This document is maintained by a core team from ISTQB® consisting of the Syllabus Working Group and Exam Working Group.

Acknowledgements

This document was produced by a core team from ISTQB®: Ina Schieferdecker, Arnika Hryszko, Adam Roman, Armin Born, Anja Kribernegg, Erhardt Wunderlich, Jaroslaw Hryszko, Renzo Cerquozzi, Benjamin Timmermans, Anoop Rayudu, Erik van Veenendaal, Reifa Tangon, Samuel Ouko, Webstar Ongaki

Following reviewers participated in the ALPHA, BETA review: Gary Mogyorodi, Laura Albert, Zsolt Hargitai, Walter Eder, Eduard Hartog, Menno van den Berg, Martijn de Ruijter, Bob van de Burgt, Meile Posthuma, Eduard Hartog, Arda Ender Torçuk, Ine Lutterman, Frank Neirynck, Klaus Skafte, Lucjan Stapp, Micheal Stahl, Ray Gillespie, M. Marton, Tal Pe'er.

The core team thanks the Exam Working Group review team, the Syllabus Working Group and Member Boards for their suggestions and input.

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Revision History

Sample Exam – Questions Layout Template used:	Version 2.12 Date: November 27, 2024

Version	Date	Remarks
0.1	1st March 2026	Beta Release
1.0	30. September 2026	Beta review

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Table of Contents

Copyright Notice 2
Document Responsibility 3
Acknowledgements 3
Revision History 4
Table of Contents 5
Introduction 7
Purpose of this document 7
Instructions 7
Questions 8
Section: Test Policies, Test Strategies and Test Objectives 8
Question #1 (3 Points) 8
Question #2 (4 Points) 9
Question #3 (3 Points) 9
Question #4 (3 Points) 11
Question #5 (4 Points) 12
Question #6 (4 Points) 13
Section: Managing the Test Team 14
Question #7 (4 Points) 14
Question #8 (3 Points) 15
Question #9 (1 Point) 15
Question #10 (4 Points) 16
Question #11 (1 Point) 17
Question #12 (3 Points) 17
Question #13 (2 Points) 17
Question #14 (4 Points) 18
Question #15 (3 Points) 20
Question #16 (1 Point) 21
Section: Managing Across the Organization 22
Question #17 (3 Points) 22
Question #18 (2 Points) 23
Question #19 (3 Points) 24
Question #20 (3 Points) 25
Question #21 (3 Points) 26
Question #22 (2 Points) 27
Question #23 (3 Points) 28
Question #24 (1 Point) 30
Section: Managing External Relationships 31
Question #25 (1 Point) 31
Question #26 (2 Points) 31
Question #27 (3 Points) 32
Question #28 (3 Points) 33
Question #29 (1 Point) 33
Question #30 (4 Points) 34
Section: Project Management Essentials 34
Question #31 (2 Points) 34
Question #32 (3 Points) 36
Question #33 (3 Points) 37
Question #34 (2 Points) 38
Question #35 (1 Point) 39
Question #36 (3 Points) 40
Question #37 (2 Points) 41
Section: Test Monitoring and Test Control 42

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #38 (2 Points) 42
Question #39 (4 Points) 43
Question #40 (1 Point) 44
Question #41 (2 Points) 46
Question #42 (3 Points) 47
Question #43 (2 Points) 48
Question #44 (3 Points) 49
Question #45 (2 Points) 50
Section: Evaluating Effectiveness, Efficiency and Satisfaction and Improving Test Policy 50
Question #46 (1 Point) 50
Question #47 (2 Points) 50
Question #48 (4 Points) 51
Question #49 (2 Points) 51
Question #50 (3 Points) 52
Section: Ethical Considerations 53
Question #51 (1 Point) 53
Question #52 (3 Points) 53
Appendix: Additional Questions 55

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Introduction

Purpose of this document

The example questions and answers and associated justifications in this sample exam have been created by a team of subject matter experts and experienced question writers with the aim of:

- Assisting ISTQB® Member Boards and Exam Boards in their question writing activities
- Providing training providers and exam candidates with examples of exam questions

These questions cannot be used as-is in any official examination.

Note, that real exams may include a wide variety of questions, and this sample exam ***is not*** intended to include examples of all possible question types, styles or lengths, also this sample exam may both be more difficult or less difficult than any official exam.

Instructions

In this document you may find:

- Questions[1], including for each question:
  - Any scenario needed by the question stem
  - Point value
  - Response (answer) option set
- Additional questions, including for each question [does not apply to all sample exams]:
  - Any scenario needed by the question stem
  - Point value
  - Response (answer) option set

- *Answers, including justification are contained in a separate document*

[1] In this sample exam the questions are sorted by the LO they target; this cannot be expected of a live exam.

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Questions

Section: Test Policies, Test Strategies and Test Objectives

Question #1 (3 Points)

You are the Test Manager for a financial software company that has approximately ten million subscribers and processes almost 5 million online payments daily. The organization has defined high-level quality goals as follows:

- **Reliability:** No more than 0.05% failed transactions per month.
- **Performance:** 95% of transactions must complete within 2 seconds.
- **Security:** Must comply with applicable regulatory security standards..
- **Usability:** Target user satisfaction ≥ 85% based on customer surveys.

The project is under strict time and budget constraints. Your task is to analyze the organizational quality goals and define a test policy that aligns test efforts with these goals and with the test strategy and test planning.

Which of the following **best represents an appropriate test policy** consistent with the organization's quality goals?

a) To implement a comprehensive test approach that maximizes requirement coverage and defect detection across all components while balancing time and budget constraints and providing management with complete test reporting.
b) To adopt a risk-based testing policy that prioritizes verification of critical features affecting reliability, performance, and security, integrates automated and manual testing to improve efficiency, and continuously reports actionable quality insights to decision-makers.
c) To focus testing on validating that the payment platform meets organizational quality goals by explicitly measuring reliability, performance, and security compliance, while providing stakeholders with risk-based metrics to support informed release decisions.
d) To confirm that all test cases are executed to completion, monitor user satisfaction, and track production failures post-release, providing extensive test reporting for management oversight, regardless of the relative importance of individual quality goals.

Select ONE option.

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #2 (4 Points)

You are the Test Manager for a consumer mobile application that delivers new functionality through weekly Agile releases. Senior management has defined the following success criteria for the next six months. Weekly releases should be maintained whenever possible. Application failures should be reduced because user ratings have fallen from 4.5 to 3.9 stars and 70% of negative reviews mention failures. Test improvements must be achieved within the existing budget because significant new tool investments are not approved. Testing decisions should be supported by meaningful measurements rather than simple activity counts.

Currently, regression testing requires 2 days per release. Automated tests cover 35% of critical user journeys, while less than 10% of rarely used features are automated. Internal analysis shows that 85% of production defects originate from five core user scenarios used by more than 90% of customers. Failure reports indicate that 80% of failures occur on the six most frequently used device models, which represent 88% of the user base. The team currently measures only the number of executed test cases, and these measurements have not helped improve testing decisions. The Product Owner has stated that delaying releases by more than one sprint would significantly reduce business value.

Which test objective should the Test Manager recommend as the most appropriate?

a) Increase confidence in every release by achieving near-complete automation of regression tests across all supported devices, expanding coverage of all application features, and introducing additional automation tools to reduce the number of defects reported by customers.
b) Improve long-term test effectiveness by pausing frequent releases, redesigning the automation framework for cross-platform coverage, expanding test reporting capabilities, and achieving broader coverage before returning to the weekly delivery cycle.
c) Maximize delivery speed by focusing test activities mainly on new features, reducing regression testing effort where possible, accepting some increase in production failures, and using customer feedback to identify future testing priorities.
d) Increase product reliability by focusing automation on critical user journeys and high-risk devices, establishing meaningful quality measures using goal-question-metric approach, and continuously improving testing priorities based on failure data, defect trends, and automation results.
a)

Select ONE option.

Question #3 (3 Points)

You are the Test Manager for a multinational medical device company preparing a major firmware update for an implantable cardiac monitor. The project operates under strict regulatory compliance requirements, demanding complete traceability between requirements, tests, and verification evidence.

The system design and requirements are stable, with comprehensive documentation approved by regulatory authorities. Your test organization has moderate test automation capabilities (mainly regression test automation) and well-trained testers in process-driven testing, but lacks expertise in modeling techniques. The organization expects complete compliance documentation for audit and certification.

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Which test strategy should you select as the primary strategy to confirm effective coverage, regulatory compliance, and long-term maintainability?

a) Reactive test strategy emphasizing exploratory testing and dynamic adaptation to stakeholder feedback
b) Consultative test strategy relying primarily on stakeholder workshops to prioritize test objectives.
c) Model-based test strategy focusing on behavior and operational models for automated test generation, complemented by a regression-averse test automation strategy
d) Methodical test strategy and standard-compliant test strategy leveraging requirements-based testing, complemented by a regression-averse test automation strategy

Select ONE option.

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #4 (3 Points)

A multinational retail organization launched a digital transformation program, replacing legacy systems with a microservices architecture.

The test manager selected a regression-averse test strategy emphasizing:
• High test automation coverage (target 85%)
• Continuous integration/continuous delivery
• Automated smoke and regression test suites
• Minimal exploratory testing

Six months into the program:
• Test automation coverage plateaued at 45%.
• Test automation scripts frequently break due to unstable APIs.
• The two senior test automation engineers resigned and were not replaced.
• Manual test effort increased but without updated test design.
• Release escaped defect rate increased by 30%.
• Test reports still show “high test automation coverage progress” due to counting outdated test scripts.
• Budget reallocation shifted funds from testing infrastructure to DevOps.
• No baseline quality metrics were defined at test strategy inception.

The CIO requests a root cause analysis of the failing test strategy.

Which TWO of the following represent likely root causes or significant contributing systemic factors?

a) The selected regression-averse test strategy did not sufficiently account for the immaturity and volatility of the microservices and API landscape, leading to excessive test automation fragility and reduced return on test automation investment.
b) The test organization’s dependency on a small number of senior test automation specialists created a single-point-of-failure risk, which materialized when knowledge transfer, skill redundancy, and succession planning were insufficiently established.
c) The initial decision to prioritize a regression-averse test strategy, and automation-heavy test strategy was misaligned with the transformation phase of the program, where architectural stabilization and adaptive, risk-based testing should have taken precedence.
d) The absence of well-defined baseline quality and effectiveness metrics, combined with misleading progress indicators, prevented early detection of declining test effectiveness and delayed corrective management decisions.
e) The increase in manual test effort without a corresponding investment in exploratory testing reduced the team’s ability to compensate for test automation gaps during periods of rapid architectural change.

Select TWO options.

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #5 (4 Points)

You have recently been appointed as the test management expert in a large traditional organization undergoing a major digital transformation. The company has historically maintained independent test strategies for each product line, resulting in inconsistent test results, overlapping tool investments, and unclear accountability for test decisions.

Senior management has mandated the creation of a unified organizational test strategy that aligns testing across business units while maintaining flexibility for project-specific adaptations. You are tasked with designing, reviewing, and gaining approval for this new test strategy, so that it delivers measurable quality improvement and stakeholder alignment. During the initial draft review, three stakeholder groups provide feedback:

- Executives request concise, business-oriented summaries highlighting ROI, quality KPIs, and risk level.
- Technical teams request detailed test implementation guidance and test environment specifications.
- Project managers request clear coordination procedures, deliverable schedules, and communication protocols.

Additionally, internal test teams have raised concerns that the proposed maintenance process lacks defined governance for updating the test strategy in response to organizational or project changes.

Your challenge is to decide how to finalize and institutionalize the organizational test strategy documentation so that the test strategy remains effective, adaptable, and sustainable.

Which of the following actions BEST helps the test strategy remain coherent, maintainable, and aligned with stakeholder expectations across its software development lifecycle?

a) Establish a governance framework defining ownership, review frequency, change management, and communication responsibilities
b) Focus on detailed test strategies, descriptions, and technical procedures to support consistent implementation across projects
c) Prioritize executive summaries emphasizing ROI and risk reduction to secure management buy-in
d) Assign document ownership to project test leaders so that organizational test strategy updates align with local project realities

Select ONE option.

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #6 (4 Points)

A leading multinational software company develops integrated financial solutions for banks and insurance firms. The company recently restructured its software testing arm to improve strategic alignment between corporate quality goals and operational test activities.

The test policy emphasizes risk-based testing, regulatory compliance, and organizational learning. However, an internal audit identified major inconsistencies between the test policy, project-level test strategies, and actual test practices across regions.

Key findings include:

- Several project teams use test automation metrics as their primary success measures, ignoring risk coverage indicators.
- The test strategy templates have not been updated in 18 months, despite major organizational and technological changes.
- Training programs focus on test techniques but do not address strategic alignment or quality goal awareness.
- Tool utilization differs significantly across the test teams, with several using unapproved test tools due to integration challenges with the corporate test management platform.
- Post-project reviews identify lessons learned, but no mechanism provides feedback into test policy or test strategy updates.

The test management expert has been tasked with leading a full evaluation and realignment initiative. The test executive must:

i. Evaluate practicality, completeness, and consistency of current test policy-strategy alignment.
ii. Recommend improvement initiatives providing both short- and long-term sustainability.
iii. Confirm that diverse business units remain contextually adaptable while maintaining strategic cohesion.

Which of the following improvement actions best demonstrates strategic-level alignment between test policy, test strategy, and test practices while providing long-term sustainability?

a) Update the test strategy template to include explicit traceability to test policy objectives and require periodic test policy-strategy alignment reviews
b) Mandate uniform adoption of a single test automation framework across all projects to enforce consistency and simplify test reporting
c) Launch a corporate-wide productivity benchmarking program to compare test efficiency across regional test teams
d) Introduce mandatory certification for all testers to standardize skill levels across the organization

Select ONE option.

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Section: Managing the Test Team
Question #7 (4 Points)

Your organization's new test strategy emphasizes building a diverse, globally distributed quality organization that integrates AI-driven testing and fosters psychological safety, diversity, and inclusive collaboration. You have been assigned to lead the interview process for a Senior Test Manager role that will oversee multicultural test teams across three regions (Europe, Africa, and Asia).

Recent internal audits revealed that:

- Past interview panels lacked representation from diverse backgrounds.
- Technical interviews were unstructured, leading to inconsistent evaluations.
- Some candidates reported feeling uncomfortable or judged for communication styles differing from local norms.
- Hiring outcomes showed underrepresentation of women and candidates from emerging markets despite strong applications.

The HR department asks you, as the Expert Test Manager, to redesign the interview structure and evaluation process to reduce bias and strengthen psychological safety, diversity, and inclusive outcomes, while maintaining the integrity of technical and leadership evaluation

Which of the following interview and evaluation redesign approaches best aligns with expert-level principles of bias mitigation, inclusivity, and strategic talent acquisition?

a) Use a structured panel interview with standardized questions, ensuring each interviewer independently records feedback. Focus on technical skill consistency while minimizing discussion to reduce influence between panel members
b) Design a multi-stage interview process incorporating standardized competency questions, diverse panel representation, and bias-awareness training for interviewers. Include behavioral scenarios assessing leadership in inclusive environments and document evidence-based scoring for each candidate
c) Conduct separate interviews for each candidate region to assess local communication style and comfort, allowing localized scoring and selection for cultural alignment
d) Introduce anonymous written technical assessments and remove all behavioral interviews to eliminate subjectivity and interpersonal bias from the evaluation process

Select ONE option

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #8 (3 Points)

You are the Test Manager at a rapidly growing financial technology company that has recently hired several new testers. The organization's test approach integrates agile software development with continuous deployment. However, several issues have emerged:

- New hires are taking longer than expected to reach productive independence.
- Mentors report inconsistent understanding of company test practices and test tool usage.
- Feedback sessions reveal that new hires feel disconnected from organizational culture the and test process.
- Training documentation exists but is outdated and not aligned with the current test automation framework.

You have been tasked with reviewing and improving the new employee training program to support faster integration, consistent test practices, and improved retention of testing knowledge

Which of the following actions would most effectively address the identified defects while ensuring long-term improvement of the training program?

a) Revise the training materials to focus primarily on test automation tool configuration and test execution tutorials
b) Introduce structured mentorship and competency-based milestone assessments aligned with organizational testing standards
c) Shorten the onboarding duration by condensing all sessions into an intensive one-week training bootcamp
d) Outsource the initial training to an external vendor specializing in software testing foundations

Select ONE option

Question #9 (1 Point)

In the context of employee termination, what is the Test Manager Expert's primary responsibility when preparing for an employee termination?

a) Conduct an exit interview to collect employee feedback
b) Confirm that human resource has processed the final payroll and benefits documentation
c) Identify and document critical knowledge and facilitate handover
d) Revoke the employee's system access immediately after notice is issued

Select ONE option

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #10 (4 Points)

A global company is implementing a new test automation strategy across three geographical regions (Europe, Asia, America). The Test Manager, Maria, needs to manage diverse stakeholder expectations while ensuring organizational alignment.

Stakeholder groups and their priorities are:
• **Senior Management**: Focus on ROI metrics, 6-month payback period
• **Developers:** Prefer lightweight integration, minimal disruption
• **Regional Test Teams:** Want autonomy in test tool selection and implementation
• **Quality Control Department:** Requires standardized test reporting and compliance

The current situation is that Europe team prefers open source test tools while Asia team advocates for commercial test tools due to support requirements yet Americas team wants to continue with their existing test automation framework. The budget allows for only ONE standardized test automation strategy. The implementation deadline is within 4 months' time.

Critical constraints:
• Organizational test policy requires Maria to select exactly ONE communication approach for consistency with company change management standards
• Previous failed test automation strategy initiative (2 years ago) resulted from mixed communication approaches that created confusion and stakeholder conflicts
• Regulatory audit in 6 months requires documented evidence of consistent stakeholder management processes
• Limited facilitation resources: Maria has only one experienced change management consultant available part-time

Maria has identified three leadership and communication approaches as listed below:
Approach A: Top-down mandate with weekly test progress reports to all stakeholders
Approach B: Collaborative workshops with consensus-building and shared decision metrics
Approach C: Regional autonomy with quarterly alignment reviews

Which of the three communication approach demonstrates the MOST effective strategic stakeholder management for achieving organizational goals?

a) Approach A is most effective because it provides clear authority and rapid decision-making, though it may create stakeholder resistance that could be managed through detailed test progress reports and test management escalation procedures.
b) Approach B is most effective because it balances stakeholder engagement with organizational needs, creates shared ownership of decisions, and establishes sustainable communication mechanisms despite requiring longer initial setup time.
c) Approach C is most effective because it respects regional expertise and reduces central management overhead, while quarterly reviews provide sufficient organizational alignment monitoring for long-term success.
d) None of the individual approaches is sufficient; however, the organizational constraints requiring a single communication approach and lessons learned from previous failures make combining approaches impractical within the current implementation context.

Select ONE option

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #11 (1 Point)

A Test Manager notices duplicated work and unclear ownership within a cross-functional test team and arranges a session to clarify roles and responsibilities. Which of the following BEST explains why clarifying roles is critical in this situation?

a) It allows the Test Manager to give each task a single fixed owner and avoid any cross-training
b) It allows the Test Manager to delegate test design to the most technically skilled individual
c) It promotes accountability of work by clearly assigning tasks and preventing duplication
d) It enables the team to speed up delivery by working independently with minimal coordination

Select ONE option

Question #12 (3 Points)

A Test Manager in an organization transitioning to AI-augmented testing observes several skill gaps across the test team. The test automation engineers are proficient in test tools but lack knowledge of AI-powered test tools and model-based testing. Business domain testers have a strong regulatory understanding but minimal exposure to continuous integration/continuous delivery (CI/CD) pipeline or DevOps integration. Budget constraints allow only one major structured training program this quarter. The test manager must also sustain ongoing knowledge transfer and continuous learning after the formal training

Which of the following approaches best balances strategic skill development and sustainable learning in this context?

a) Sponsor formal external certification for all test automation engineers in AI test tools, while deferring domain and DevOps training until next quarter
b) Create a cross-functional rotation so testers temporarily join the development or operations team to build cross-domain understanding before pursuing any structured training.
c) Launch self-directed learning initiatives using online AI testing tutorials and articles for all testers, supported by voluntary discussion sessions
d) Implement a blended learning path: one formal AI-testing training program combined with internal mentoring pairs between test automation engineers and domain experts

Select ONE option

Question #13 (2 Points)

A test management expert is conducting a performance review for a senior tester who consistently exceeds expectations, provides informal mentoring, and drives innovation in test automation. The tester expressed feeling under-challenged and uncertain about career growth.

Which performance review action plan BEST maintains engagement and retention while supporting organizational objectives?

a) Assign additional test responsibilities to increase workload and use the tester's available capacity more fully
b) Provide formal recognition for contributions and allocate structured mentoring duties to support team capability
c) Discuss suitable leadership or cross-functional pathways that match career interests and organizational objectives

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

d) Maintain existing responsibilities but offer a financial incentive to acknowledge strong and consistent performance

Select ONE option

Question #14 (4 Points)

You are the test management expert for a multinational digital transformation program integrating AI-powered analytics into a legacy banking platform.

The test organization spans four continents, with test teams varying widely in experience, culture, and technical expertise.

- The Continent X test team consists of senior test automation engineers with strong autonomy expectations.
- One test team in a separate continent, Y, has several new hires unfamiliar with the company's DevOps toolchain and test reporting structure.
- The Continent Z test team focuses on client-facing user acceptance testing under intense regulatory scrutiny.

Project context:

- Frequent requirement changes and aggressive release timelines are straining morale.
- Executives demand "predictable progress indicators" but misunderstand the implications of dynamic scope.
- Internal communication tools include asynchronous dashboards, weekly cross-site stand-ups, and monthly stakeholder briefings.
- A cultural audit revealed:
  - High individualism, high emphasis on equality, and highly decentralized decision-making in continent X.
  - High priority of group harmony, loyalty, and collective needs over individual desires and moderate emphasis on equality in Continent Y.
  - Assertive communication norms in the Continent Z.
- A recent review showed:
  - Coverage test reports are inconsistent across regions.
  - Senior testers bypass test progress calls, citing redundancy.
  - Junior testers hesitate to report blocked tests without manager approval.

The CIO has asked you to "stabilize cross-regional performance, improve communication predictability, and maintain motivation under delivery pressure."

Which adaptive leadership strategy should the TME implement first to optimize test team effectiveness while aligning with the cultural and maturity differences across regions?

a) Enforce a unified directive structure promoting identical test reporting and task control to prevent regional inconsistency
b) Apply a coaching approach with continent X and a directive approach with continent Y, supported by clear autonomy boundaries and progressive responsibility delegation
c) Standardize delegation across all test teams to promote global accountability and streamline communication overhead
d) Focus on supportive leadership emphasizing empathy and stress management across all locations to improve morale

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Select ONE option

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #15 (3 Points)

You are the test management expert overseeing the system testing of a global e-commerce project integrating AI-driven personalization.
Your test organization consists of:

- 10 test automation engineers in Continent X, experienced but showing signs of burnout;
- 6 functional testers in Continent Y, culturally diverse and working under contract via a vendor;
- 3 senior testers and a performance tester in Continent Z, co-located with product owners;
- A new Agile test leader based in Continent A, assigned to improve collaboration-based test approach maturity.

Over the past three sprints, the following patterns have emerged:

- Cultural friction: Test automation engineers in one region complain that testers from other regions “avoid direct feedback.”
- Communication gap: Daily stand-ups rotate time slots, but attendance consistency is poor.
- Trust erosion: Offshore members hesitate to raise defects discovered late, fearing blame.
- Leadership conflict: The test leader from one region dominates retrospectives, discouraging open debate.
- Engagement drop: Offshore testers rarely contribute ideas, citing “decisions are already made.”

Corporate management has requested you to:

1. Rebuild psychological safety and trust,
2. Support inclusion and shared decision-making, and
3. Enhance collaboration effectiveness across locations,
   without additional budget or travel.

Which combined strategy BEST addresses the underlying root cause of collaboration breakdown, cultural imbalance, and lack of psychological safety while aligning with distributed team management principles and organizational constraints?

a) Rotate meeting moderators across regions, establish a “defect discussion test charter” encouraging open sharing, and schedule bi-weekly informal cultural exchange sessions managed by the agile test leaders
b) Reinforce leadership through the Continent Z test leader to maintain structure, mandate defect escalation through test leaders only, and require all feedback to be documented formally in the defect management tool to support traceability
c) Deploy a gamified recognition system rewarding defect discovery and cross-team collaboration metrics, supported by a centralized test reporting dashboard visible to all regions
d) Request senior management approval for a short-term co-location pilot between the continent X and continent Y test teams to resolve trust issues face-to-face before returning to distributed operations

Select ONE option

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #16 (1 Point)

During a long-term continuous delivery project, a test team faces a short-term crunch phase. Which approach BEST addresses test team motivation while balancing immediate pressure and long-term engagement?

a) Reducing meetings to free time for immediate tasks
b) Lowering coverage to temporarily lessen the workload
c) Offering only financial rewards to boost short-term test effort
d) Managing stress while keeping work engaging over time

Select ONE option

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Section: Managing Across the Organization

Question #17 (3 Points)

A large fintech organization operating in regulated markets, is undergoing a large-scale digital transformation. The company provides mobile banking and investment services across 8 countries and must comply with international regulatory frameworks

Over the last one year:

- Release frequency increased from quarterly to bi-weekly.
- Test automation coverage increased from 40% to 78%.
- Critical escaped defects decreased by 32%.
- Regulatory audit findings decreased by 45%.
- Measures of customer loyalty, satisfaction, and the risk likelihood of them recommending a brand to others improved from 52% to 63%.
- However, overall delivery cycle time increased by 10%.
- The test budget increased by 18% due to tooling, compliance validation, and non-functional testing expansion.

At a recent board meeting, the CFO stated that “Testing costs are rising faster than revenue growth. Our competitors release faster. We need leaner quality governance.” The Chief Product Officer added that “Quality gates are slowing innovation. We must empower teams and reduce centralized quality control.”

The CEO is neutral but concerned about regulatory exposure and brand reputation.
The Scrum Master indicates that the different Agile teams operate semi-autonomously and also that testing is embedded in Agile teams, but strategic test management remains centralized. The product teams perceive testing as risk-averse and developers argue that high automation coverage makes some regression tests redundant. Overall, the psychological safety in retrospectives has declined.
Externally, the company recently received an industry quality award at the local Software Quality Association annual conference, while regulatory authorities informally praised the company’s improved audit results.

You are the head of test management at the company. Which of the following strategic response combinations BEST demonstrates a systemic advocacy approach that protects the test organization while strengthening its long-term strategic positioning?

a) Empower executives by presenting phase containment savings, emphasizing regulatory risk as justification against cost reduction, escalating concerns to block governance changes, and promoting comprehensive quality governance superiority.
b) Decentralize quality responsibilities to Agile software development teams by removing centralized regression testing governance, reducing the test budget, and positioning testing primarily as a support function.
c) Reframe quality planning by implementing a value-focused quality impact dashboard, redefining quality gates as adaptive risk-based checkpoints, participating in portfolio planning, and facilitating cross-functional learning oppportunities.
d) Focus on visible efficiency improvements by leveraging industry recognition, increasing test automation to 90%, measuring tester productivity through test execution metrics, and committing to lowering cost per release.

Select ONE option

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #18 (2 Points)

A European healthcare provider, operates a cloud-based application in a highly regulated environment requiring continuous compliance validation and traceability evidence.

Over the last 18 months:
• Test automation coverage increased from 48% to 83%.
• Critical production failure decreased by 39%.
• Customer-reported high-severity defects decreased by 33%.
• Release frequency improved from 6-week cycles to 2-week cycles.
• Customer support ticket volume dropped by 21%.
• Test budget increased by 24% due to test automation scaling and compliance tooling.

During annual planning, the CFO states that testing costs are rising significantly and needs financial justification showing measurable margin protection or revenue contribution. Separately, the CTO supports engineering efficiency; the Chief Compliance Officer prioritizes audit stability; and Product Management is concerned about test cycle time creep and customer success credit retention gains to improved reliability.

You must design a value communication strategy that addresses all perspectives.

Which approach BEST demonstrates comprehensive quantitative and qualitative value analysis aligned with stakeholder-specific communication?

a) Develop a consolidated cost-of-quality business case that quantifies avoided external failure costs, supports workload reduction, provides regulatory penalty exposure mitigation, requires a test automation ROI over a three-year horizon, and supports customer retention impact, while tailoring executive communication toward financial margin protection and providing technical dashboards for engineering leadership.

b) Prepare a detailed operational excellence presentation that highlights test case growth, test automation stability trends, defect detection distribution across software development lifecycle phases, regression testing optimization metrics, and compliance checklist completion rates, and presents this unified operational maturity narrative to all stakeholders regardless of their individual decision-making focus.

c) Construct a compliance-centered investment justification that emphasizes mandatory regulatory validation requirements, improved audit traceability scores, documented process conformance, and the reputational consequences of non-compliance, positioning testing as a governance safeguard that should be financially insulated from cost-efficiency discussions.

d) Propose a phased budget stabilization initiative that reduces projected test budget growth while maintaining test automation expansion, increases tester productivity targets, commits to marginal test cycle time reduction, and frames these operational adjustments as evidence of financial responsibility and strategic compromise.

Select ONE option

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #19 (3 Points)

A large enterprise organization is transitioning from a sequential development model to a hybrid Agile-at-scale software development. Multiple product lines share common platforms, while development teams operate with varying levels of maturity.

Current observations:

- Testing is centralized in a Quality Assurance (QA) department which is domiciled in Operations.
- Agile teams complain about slow feedback and limited test involvement during design.
- Senior management wants consistent quality standards across products.
- Developers feel testing is “policing” rather than collaborative.
- Business stakeholders report late discovery of requirement misunderstandings.
- Psychological safety in retrospectives is low, and testers hesitate to raise quality risks early.

You are asked to analyze and recommend a new placement model for the test team that balances independence, collaboration, and enterprise-wide quality consistency.

Which organizational placement option BEST addresses the identified structural, cultural, and information-flow challenges?

a) Implement a hybrid software development model in which testers are operationally embedded within product teams to support early collaboration and rapid feedback, while maintaining a centralized quality governance and test reporting line that defines standards, supports skills development, preserves independence, and enables cross-product learning and escalation of systemic quality risks.
b) Fully decentralize all testing responsibilities into individual product teams, eliminating central quality governance, empowering teams to define their own quality standards and practices, and relying on Agile software development and peer accountability to provide sufficient quality outcomes without additional organizational oversight.
c) Retain the existing centralized QA department structure and improve responsiveness by introducing stricter service-level agreements, enhanced test planning documentation, additional coordination meetings, and increased management oversight to enforce earlier engagement with delivery teams.
d) Move the entire test organization under the Project Management Office (PMO) to leverage cross-project visibility, standardized reporting, and schedule-driven governance, while expecting project managers to balance quality priorities against delivery constraints.

Select ONE option

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #20 (3 Points)

A multinational insurance company is undergoing a large-scale transformation of its Agile software development.

Challenges identified include:
Test reporting bias when test teams are embedded in development.
Communication gaps between business stakeholders and technical teams.
Need for scalability and consistent practices across multiple programs for Agile software development.
Concerns about career progression and stakeholder trust.

You, as the Test Manager, must analyze placement implications and recommend the structure to be employed.

Which statement best reflects the implications of matrix placement for career development and communication flow at the insurance company?

a) It reduces communication barriers and speeds information flow, lessening the need for escalation and approval steps.
b) It tends to narrow career development toward technical roles, limiting business-domain growth and stakeholder collaboration.
c) It offers diverse career trajectories across business and technical domains, while balancing independence and communication effectiveness despite accountability complexity.
d) It resolves most prioritization conflicts and produces strong alignment between business and technical stakeholders.

Select ONE option

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #21 (3 Points)

A multinational insurance company is running a digital transformation program across multiple countries. The CIO has tasked the Test Manager with strengthening internal relationships to support testing success.

Challenges identified include:

- Development managers complain about late defect reporting and lack of technical coordination.
- Project managers struggle to integrate testing into risk management and delivery planning.
- Business stakeholders feel disconnected from validation activities.
- Infrastructure teams report delays in test environment provisioning.
- Informal networks exist but are fragmented across countries, limiting cross-location collaboration.

The Test Manager must analyze these relationships and propose strategies to build effective partnerships that embed quality as a shared responsibility.

Among the collaboration strategies proposed below, which TWO should be recommended for adoption?

a) Promoting informal collaboration channels between testers and developers, expecting open communication alone to align defect prevention strategies without formal planning.
b) Establishing collaborative communities of practice, expecting environment delays to be resolved through shared learning rather than structured liaison roles.
c) Establishing structured joint quality planning workshops with development managers, supported by liaison roles that coordinate defect prevention, technical issue resolution, and alignment of testing priorities across multiple Agile teams.
d) Encouraging cross-functional liaison roles to coordinate test environment provisioning, security, and operations, ensuring alignment and timely support for test activities across multiple countries.
e) Promoting collaborative defect reporting dashboards, expecting alignment to occur automatically through shared visibility rather than structured liaison roles.

Select TWO options.

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #22 (2 Points)

A multinational fintech organization is launching a new AI-driven credit approval platform. The release is strategically critical because it will determine entry into three new EU markets under strict regulatory oversight.

Recent internal audits identified the following issues:

- Executive management receives weekly defect density reports but lacks clarity on business risk level.
- Product Owners feel overwhelmed by technical defect metrics and struggle to translate them into release decisions.
- Developers complain that late-phase risk escalations create unnecessary delivery pressure.
- Compliance officers report that regulatory traceability discussions are too technical and not aligned with legal terminology.
- A recent production failure caused reputational damage, although the defect had been reported as “medium severity” during sprint reviews.

The Test Manager has been asked to redesign stakeholder communication regarding critical quality risks to support shared understanding, joint decision-making, and reduced misinterpretation of risk signals before the next major release.

Given the fintech company digital scenario, which communication strategy most effectively addresses the executive-level misunderstanding of risk level while preserving transparency and enabling shared decision-making before market launch?

a) Establish an interactive executive quality impact dashboard that translates defect trends, regulatory traceability gaps, and AI model validation findings into quantified business risk level scenarios tied directly to market entry timelines, accompanied by monthly facilitated risk-alignment workshops where executives, compliance officers, and product leadership jointly review trade-offs between time-to-market and regulatory stability.
b) Enhance the existing weekly defect density report by supplementing it with clearer explanatory notes, color-coded severity categorizations, and a structured executive summary that highlights trends in open defects, historical escape rates, and remediation progress in order to make current reporting more digestible for senior leadership without fundamentally changing communication channels.
c) Schedule quarterly executive briefings where the Test Manager presents aggregated defect statistics, AI coverage metrics, and compliance validation results using slide-based presentations that summarize quality performance improvements since the last release, while allowing time for clarification questions at the end of the session.
d) Implement automated AI-generated executive summaries that extract key testing statistics from sprint dashboards and distribute them via email before steering committee meetings to so that decision-makers receive concise overviews of quality progress and high risk level indicators in advance of release approval discussions.

Select ONE option

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #23 (3 Points)

A multinational digital banking organization is operating across five countries. To improve cross-border collaboration, the bank has introduced a shared multi-use tool ecosystem consisting of:

- A centralized defect management system integrated with CI/CD pipelines.
- A Confluence-based test knowledge wiki.
- Reporting and Analytics quality dashboards linking defect trends with customer complaint metrics and avoided revenue loss estimates.
- An anonymous retrospective tool integrated with sprint ceremonies.
- A risk-based test alignment canvas used inconsistently across departments.

However, several challenges have emerged:

- Development teams use the defect management tool mainly for tracking status, rarely filling in root cause or learning fields.
- Business stakeholders find dashboards too technical and not clearly linked to customer impact.
- Some teams avoid using anonymous retrospective features because they fear traceability of comments.
- Naming conventions and severity classifications differ across countries.
- Governance of tool configuration changes is unclear, resulting in duplicated data fields and reporting inconsistencies.

The Chief Quality Officer asks the Expert Test Manager to explain which factors must be considered when dealing with these multi-use tools so that they function as learning-oriented, cross-functional enablers rather than simple reporting platforms.

In this bank's scenario, which action best reflects the correct application of multi-use tool considerations to support sustainable and organization-wide learning?

a) Explicitly position standards as enablers of learning and dialogue and have the governance board incorporate representatives from departments to define common nomenclature, severity criteria, and change management procedures for the shared tool ecosystem.
b) Enhance the centralized defect management workflow by introducing completion rules for root cause and learning fields before defect closure, supported by automated tests in the CI/CD pipeline to support consistent documentation quality across all five countries.
c) Expand the Reporting and Analytics dashboards with additional business-oriented KPIs, including customer churn correlation and avoided revenue loss projections, to make the shared reporting system more strategically aligned with executive expectations.
d) Standardize retrospective usage by establishing quarterly anonymous retrospectives across all departments and consolidating results into a global improvement tracker to support organization-wide visibility of recurring systemic issues.

Select ONE option

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #24 (1 Point)

A regional fintech company operating mobile lending, insurance, and payment solutions currently uses a single-instance Application Lifecycle Management ALM tool, supporting requirements, test management, defect management, test automation integration, dashboards, and regulatory traceability.
The test organization includes three domain-based test teams, a centralized test automation Centre of Excellence, and a Quality Governance Office responsible for compliance reporting.

The CIO proposes moving from the current single shared ALM tool instance to a segmented multi-instance model:
• Each domain team would operate its own isolated instance.
• Test automation would run on a central server with API-based integration into each instance.
• A federated reporting layer would aggregate metrics across instances.
• Data isolation would improve regulatory segmentation.

Concerns raised include governance complexity, integration risks, loss of visibility, and increased maintenance overhead. As the Expert Test Manager, you must assess the organizational impact of this change.

Based on the scenario, which TWO of the following analyses most accurately assess the impact of the proposed change on the test organization?

a) The introduction of multiple tool instances will likely increase governance and configuration management complexity because workflows, traceability templates, access controls, and compliance mappings must be replicated and aligned across environments, thereby requiring additional coordination mechanisms within the Quality Governance Office to prevent configuration drift and regulatory inconsistency.
b) The separation of test automation infrastructure from domain-specific ALM repositories will introduce integration and traceability risk, since automated test results must be synchronized via APIs into multiple data stores, potentially affecting defect traceability integrity, release-readiness dashboards, and the consistency of regulatory evidence previously derived from a unified data model.
c) The segmentation of tool environments will significantly enhance organizational efficiency because domain autonomy enables optimized local workflows and faster decision-making cycles, while federated aggregation technology can reliably preserve enterprise-wide reporting equivalence without materially increasing governance synchronization effort.
d) The federated reporting layer will inherently maintain executive visibility, compliance traceability, and cross-domain metrics remain fully consistent with the prior single-instance configuration, since aggregated dashboards can standardize and normalize all incoming data streams regardless of structural differences between instances.
e) The isolation of domain instances will reduce operational risk level by containing configuration changes within team boundaries, enabling controlled experimentation with workflow improvements while maintaining enterprise stability, because cross-domain dependencies will be structurally minimized through architectural separation.

Select TWO options

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Section: Managing External Relationships

Question #25 (1 Point)

A Test Management Expert (TME) works in a regional hospital that is regulated by the National Medical Board. The hospital has recently acquired a new hospital management system from a third-party vendor and intends to roll it out across its branches. A separate external organization is to be contracted to undertake all your testing consultancy.

Which of these would be the MOST important considerations as the TME embarks on this project assignment?

a) Reviewing documentation expectations so that installation and user guides meet needs
b) Including a hospital internal audit representative in the defect management committee so that they have a say in the decisions about defects
c) Requiring that all communication from the vendor be specifically channeled straight to the external consultant to support transparency.
d) Defining the consultant's scope so that all regulatory testing obligations are fully covered

Select ONE option

Question #26 (2 Points)

You are contracting an external vendor to perform component testing for a healthcare application, and you must define contract agreement clauses that establish accountability for delivering a high level of system quality.

Which clause would be the MOST appropriate to include in the contract?

a) Defect reports raised by the client must be acknowledged within one hour and resolved by the vendor within a defined twenty-four-hour period
b) Vendor quality control personnel must remain continuously available to respond to client questions related to the delivered testware
c) User documentation and support material must be produced by the vendor to cover all delivered system functions and interface screens
d) Component tests executed by the vendor must achieve a minimum statement coverage threshold set at ninety-five percent for all components

Select ONE option

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #27 (3 Points)

You are the Test Management Expert (TME) in a large financial software project involving a local test team and an offshore development vendor. The teams are distributed across two time zones. The vendor's team performs development and component testing and component integration testing, while your local team handles system testing and acceptance testing.
During the first project month, several problems are encountered:

- Defect reports are inconsistent and delayed.
- Weekly meetings have low attendance from the offshore vendor.
- Misunderstandings occur about defect severity and exit criteria.
- The regulator has requested proof of communication records for compliance audits.

As the TME, you are asked to analyze the situation and select the most effective corrective communication strategy to restore clarity, accountability, and compliance.

Which of the following is the most effective communication strategy to resolve the problems and improve coordination?

a) Increase the frequency of meetings with the offshore vendor to daily stand-ups and require email confirmations after every meeting.
b) Appoint one liaison per test team to consolidate all defect and test progress reports before reporting weekly to the regulator.
c) Implement standardized communication tools for example, common defect management and test management systems, and publish communication templates and severity definitions, and align meeting schedules considering time zones and cultural factors.
d) Use informal chat channels to faster defect communication and to bypass formal delays in documentation.

Select ONE option

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #28 (3 Points)

You are the Test Management Expert (TME) for a government tax management system project.
A third-party supplier delivers components for tax computation and filing test automation.
According to the contract:

- The supplier is responsible for development, Component testing and component integration testing,
- Your internal test team is responsible for system testing, system integration testing and acceptance testing,
- The components will later integrate with other internal systems, including the payments and analytics systems.

However, your test analysis reveals that the third party's test reports show incomplete coverage and missing traceability between component tests and requirements.
The project sponsor insists on keeping the delivery schedule, and the supplier claims "component testing was completed to their standard."

As the TME, what is the most appropriate course of action to support sufficient quality and contractual compliance before system integration begins?

a) Accept the components based on supplier confirmation and proceed with system integration testing as planned to maintain the schedule.
b) Proceed with system integration testing but add more acceptance tests at the end to compensate for any possible component test gaps.
c) Delay system integration testing and require the supplier to provide evidence of component coverage and traceability before acceptance.
d) Request a contract amendment to transfer component testing responsibilities to your internal test team for all future deliveries.

Select ONE option

Question #29 (1 Point)

Your organization has outsourced the System Integration Testing (SIT) to an external testing vendor. The vendor has strong expertise in the integration platform and test automation tools used for SIT. Your internal test team is new to both the platform and the tools for system integration. The internal test team, however, undertakes all the other component testing and system testing.

Which consideration is MOST important to support effective collaboration?

a) Prepare a common glossary of terminology that will be used by both test teams during testing exercise
b) Confirm that the vendor makes use of the same test approach to synchronize the overall test results that will be released
c) Allow the TME of the vendor to lead the test strategy because they have expertise in the test tools and the new system being introduced
d) Allow both parties to independently manage the risk mitigation plans they identify on each of their sides but then jointly report on the same.

Select ONE option

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #30 (4 Points)

You are the Test Management Expert (TME) in charge of testing a flight scheduling system developed by a third-party supplier.

The project contract defines the following:
Entry criteria and exit criteria for each test level must be objectively measurable.
Milestones are tied to the exit criteria for payment release.
Your internal test team will perform system testing, system integration testing and acceptance testing, while the supplier is responsible for component testing and component integration testing.

At the first major handover, the supplier requests payment, claiming the “component integration testing” milestone is complete.

However, their testware include:
Static analysis completed with 5 unresolved warnings.
82% statement coverage while the target was 85%.
Two high-severity interface defects marked as “to be fixed later.”
Full component integration test logs included.

Management wants your recommendation on whether to approve milestone completion and proceed to system testing, given contractual expectations and project schedule pressure

As the TME, what is the most appropriate evaluation and decision to make?

a) Approve the milestone completion and proceed, since the component integration test logs demonstrate successful component interfacing despite minor defects.

b) Conditionally approve milestone completion but require the supplier to fix the high-severity interface defects before formal entry criteria into system testing.

c) Reject milestone completion and request full compliance with all defined exit criteria before payment release or further testing.

d) Escalate the defects to the scrum master, explaining that entry criteria and exit criteria are guidelines and can be relaxed to maintain the schedule.

Select ONE option

Section: Project Management Essentials

Question #31 (2 Points)

Historical test effort data for similar work show a mean of 40 person-days with a standard deviation of 8. The project sponsor asks whether an estimate of 50 days can be considered safe.

As the Test Management Expert (TME), you apply statistical reasoning, which interpretation is MOST accurate?

a) About 89% chance of completion ≤ 50 days; moderate overrun risk
b) Roughly 50% chance of completion ≤ 50 days; high overrun risk
c) Around 68% chance of completion ≤ 50 days; minimal risk
d) About 99% chance of completion ≤ 50 days; negligible risk

Select ONE option

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #32 (3 Points)

You are asked to predict defect-prone components for a new release. Data includes lines of code (LOC), code churn, and three years of defect history. Some managers suggest a simple average, while others prefer advanced machine learning. Analyze the options and recommend a suitable model.
Which of the following is the most appropriate answer that could be recommended?

a) Average past defects per release to forecast defect counts
b) Apply an advanced machine-learning model immediately for higher accuracy
c) Use a quantitative defect prediction model based on historical metrics such as lines of code (LOC) and code churn as predictors
d) Build a manual risk checklist using only expert opinion

Select ONE option

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #33 (3 Points)

You are the Test Management Expert (TME) for a regulated medical device software platform used in intensive care units across multiple EU countries. The next release must comply with updated MDR (Medical Device Regulation) requirements within 14 weeks.

Current metrics across the last three releases show:

- External failure cost: €500,000–€750,000 per critical escaped defect
- Two escaped defects in the previous release led to €1.1M total remediation and SLA penalties
- Internal failure costs (rework, confirmation testing) increased by 22% over the last two releases
- Defect prevention costs currently represent 12% of the total quality budget
- Appraisal costs (dynamic system testing and component integration testing) represent 63%
- Schedule buffer remaining: 2 weeks
- Finance has approved at most a 5% overall budget increase
- Clinical stakeholders are concerned about release delays due to hospital procurement cycles

Senior management asks you to recommend an economically defensible strategy that balances regulatory risk, cost control, and time-to-market while avoiding unnecessary over-investment in quality activities.

Which TWO recommendations BEST reflect a strategically sound application of the Cost of Quality model under these conditions?

a) Gradually rebalance the quality budget by shifting 8–10% of appraisal spending toward structured inspections, early risk-based test design, and regulatory requirement clarification workshops, even if short-term schedule pressure increases slightly, because reducing the probability of €500,000–€750,000 external failures may lower total Cost of Quality across future releases.
b) Maintain the current 12% defect prevention allocation but intensify dynamic testing depth and increase regression testing scope to support regulatory confidence, since higher appraisal rigor can reduce escaped defects without disrupting the existing cost distribution model.
c) Conduct a marginal Cost of Quality analysis, calculating the projected reduction in internal failure costs and expected external failure exposure if prevention investment rises from 12% to approximately 18%, and proceed only if the estimated reduction in total CoQ exceeds the approved 5% budget flexibility.
d) Extend the schedule buffer fully by two weeks to maximize defect detection through prolonged system testing while keeping prevention spending constant, thereby reducing external failure risk without fundamentally altering budget proportions.
e) Incrementally increase both defect prevention and appraisal spending simultaneously within the 5% budget allowance to maximize overall defect detection and defect prevention activities, ensuring that no single CoQ category is reduced during the rebalancing effort.

Select TWO options

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #34 (2 Points)

You are the Test Management Expert (TME) in a multinational digital health transformation program operating across three regulatory jurisdictions. The project management office has identified 22 project risks classified into technical, organizational, process, and external categories. The organization uses a 1–5 ordinal scale for both risk likelihood and risk impact. The project management office multiplies the two values to produce a risk level, which is then summed across risks to calculate a total project risk index. Two specific risks receive identical risk level values of 12:

Risk A: Risk likelihood = 3, Impact = 4
Related to possible delay in regulatory clarification
Estimated potential financial risk impact range €900k–€1.4M
Historical occurrence frequency: once every 4–5 years

Risk B: Risk likelihood = 4, Impact = 3
Related to recurring integration environment instability
Estimated cumulative financial risk impact range €180k–€300k
Historically occurred in 3 of the last 5 programs

Executives interpret the equal RPN values as meaning the risks are economically equivalent and request mitigation prioritization based strictly on the numeric score. You are asked to advise how project risk analysis should be improved while maintaining decision-making transparency.

Which TWO responses BEST demonstrate expert-level application of project risk analysis principles in this context?

a) Explain that multiplying ordinal risk likelihood and risk impact values assumes ratio-scale properties and therefore masks the significant exposure difference between €900k–€1.4M and €180k–€300k, and recommend transitioning toward interval-based or expected monetary value estimation for economically meaningful prioritization.
b) Accept the RPN equivalence of 12 for both risks but recommend prioritizing Risk B because its higher empirical frequency increases cumulative operational disruption despite lower single-event impact.
c) Convert both risks into structured monetary exposure intervals and, where feasible, estimate probability distributions to compare expected value and exposure variance before final mitigation ranking.
d) Retain the ordinal risk level for simplicity but introduce a weighted multiplication factor that doubles the impact score relative to risk likelihood, thereby preserving the 1–5 scale while correcting economic imbalance.
e) Present executives with the worst-case financial impact of Risk A (€1.4M) as the primary prioritization criterion, since maximum loss magnitude is more decision-relevant than probabilistic exposure abstraction.

Select TWO options.

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #35 (1 Point)

You are the Test Management Expert (TME) for a digital banking platform scheduled for release in six weeks.

A formal change request is submitted after a regulatory clarification from the central bank requires:
• Additional customer identity verification rules
• Updated audit logging requirements
• Modification of API interfaces used by external fintech partners

The Change Control Board (CCB) requests a structured impact analysis within 5 days.

The Current status is as below:
• 85% system testing completed
• Regression testing suite stable but not yet fully automated
• Test environment shared with two parallel projects
• Budget contingency only 7% remaining

The Scrum master emphasizes schedule adherence but acknowledges regulatory compliance is mandatory.

Which TWO actions BEST reflect the TME's appropriate role within the change management process?

a) Immediately update the test plan to incorporate the regulatory change requirements in order to demonstrate proactive compliance, while assuming formal approval will follow due to regulatory urgency.
b) Perform a structured impact analysis evaluating implications on test strategy, regression testing scope, test data updates, test environment configuration, resource allocation, and residual risk, and present quantified effort and risk level to the CCB for informed approval
c) Delegate detailed change impact estimation entirely to the development team since they understand the API modifications more precisely, and limit test involvement to testing in production.
d) Collaborate with development, business analysis, and compliance stakeholders to assess new test conditions, identify necessary regression testing depth, and estimate schedule and cost impact before CCB decision-making.
e) Recommend postponing the change to a later release in order to protect the current regression testing stability, while deferring detailed impact analysis until regulatory enforcement deadlines are clarified.

Select TWO options

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #36 (3 Points)

You are the Test Management Expert (TME) for a large-scale digital insurance claims modernization program operating in a hybrid Agile–DevOps test environment with quarterly regulatory audits.

In Sprint 9 of 12 (Release Candidate phase), two project-wide changes are formally approved:

1. Architectural transformation from a tightly coupled monolithic Java platform to a partially decomposed containerized microservices architecture.
2. Regulatory-driven schedule compression, reducing the planned release window by four weeks.

Current test landscape:

- 78% functional coverage achieved under the original monolithic design.
- 65% regression testing automated using frameworks optimized for shared in-process state.
- Risk register not recalibrated for distributed integration risks.
- Dashboards measure progress against original baselines.
- Performance test environment partially incompatible with containerization.
- Test data provisioning assumes centralized schemas now partitioned across services.

Senior leadership requests a consolidated impact statement that must:

- Identify testing feasibility under the new schedule
- Quantify residual risk level
- Provide defensible re-planning recommendations
- Preserve audit readiness and traceability

Which TWO actions BEST demonstrate expert-level impact analysis under these conditions?

a) Stabilize performance test environments and maintain current regression testing scope while monitoring architectural evolution before recalibrating baselines and completion assumptions.
b) Perform an integrated re-baselining exercise combining architectural impact, test automation refactoring effort, distributed integration risk level, test environment capability gaps, regression testing reprioritization, and probabilistic schedule re-forecasting with quantified confidence ranges.
c) Accelerate test automation modernization and redistribute regression testing execution earlier in the sprint cycle to increase throughput without formally recalibrating monitoring baselines or exit criteria.
d) Preserve original exit criteria for audit continuity while supplementing testing with additional exploratory testing to mitigate emerging architectural risks.
e) Conduct structured traceability and coverage gap analysis aligned to microservice interactions, update distributed risk modeling, and recalibrate monitoring dashboards to prevent misleading progress metrics.

Select TWO options.

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #37 (2 Points)

You are the Test Management Expert (TME) for a multinational railway organization developing a safety-critical signaling and interlocking system subject to mandatory certification and Independent Safety Assessment (ISA). The organization has shifted from a strict V-model to a hybrid software development model, combining 8-week incremental builds with formal certification release quality gates.

The Project characteristics are as summarized below:

- Baselined requirements with controlled change
- Mandatory tool qualification
- Full bidirectional traceability from hazards to test cases
- Structural coverage obligations for safety components
- Externally audited configuration management
- Objective compliance evidence required at every milestone

Management fears excessive documentation will slow increments, while the safety board insists certification rigor must not be weakened. You must apply an appropriate test management approach suited to this hybrid, safety-critical project type.

Which of the following approaches BEST demonstrates an appropriate application of test management for this project type?

a) Integrate verification, validation, hazard traceability maintenance, and structural coverage measurement into every increment while preserving formal certification stage quality gates, enforce qualified-tool-based bidirectional traceability updated per build; maintain audited configuration baselines per increment; and keep safety case evidence continuously accumulated and reviewable at each milestone rather than consolidated only before independent safety assessment.
b) Adopt an increment-focused validation approach that demonstrates executable functionality at the end of each iteration, while deferring comprehensive hazard traceability reconciliation, structural coverage justification, and formal safety case compilation until immediately prior to the Independent Safety Assessment to minimize administrative overhead during development.
c) Emphasize automated regression testing and structural testing within each increment to achieve measurable coverage objectives, while assigning responsibility for hazard analysis updates, traceability matrix alignment, and certification work product consolidation to a centralized governance function conducting structured quarterly compliance reviews aligned with regulatory expectations.
d) Establish a dual-track model in which incremental teams concentrate on functional verification and exploratory testing for risk reduction within iterations, while a parallel assurance stream retrospectively formalizes traceability links, documentation baselines, and configuration audit work products shortly before each external certification milestone to balance agility with regulatory readiness.

Select ONE option

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Section: Test Monitoring and Test Control

Question #38 (2 Points)

You are the Test Management Expert (TME) for a digital banking transformation program operating in a scaled Agile and DevOps model with bi-weekly releases and continuous deployment to a regulated cloud test environment.
The organization has recently experienced:

- Increasing number of escaped defects in production despite a stable rate of passed test
- Rising defect reopening rates due to incomplete fixes
- Stakeholder complaints that test dashboards are “green but misleading”
- Inconsistent measurement of test automation coverage across teams using different tools
- Delayed visibility into integration risks because defect reporting is consolidated only at release level

Senior management requests a revised set of test monitoring metrics that:

- Provide early warning signals
- Support data-driven release decisions
- Remain comparable across teams
- Are feasible within CI/CD pipelines
- Align with the strategic objective to reduce production defects by 30% within two quarters

You decide to apply the Goal-Question-Metric (GQM) approach.

Which of the following metric sets BEST fulfills the objective and reflects effective test monitoring preparation?

a) Define the goal of reducing production defects, derive questions on defect containment effectiveness, integration stability, and regression testing robustness, and select metrics including defect detection percentage per iteration, escaped defect trend per release, defect reopening rate, risk-weighted regression coverage from standardized tooling, and lead time from detection to verified fix, with automated CI/CD collection.
b) Define the goal of improving product quality perception, derive questions on stakeholder satisfaction and delivery stability, and select metrics including sprint velocity trends, user story completion rate, deployment success percentage, overall rate of passed tests, and number of automated test cases in unified dashboards.
c) Define the goal of increasing test efficiency and predictability, derive questions on resource utilization and throughput, and select metrics including test execution rate per tester, test automation script growth, average test design time, total defects logged per sprint, and test environment uptime percentage.
d) Define the goal of enhancing technical robustness, derive questions on code complexity and maintainability, and select metrics including cyclomatic complexity averages, static analysis violations, percentage of components above unit test thresholds, and deployment frequency variance.

Select ONE option

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #39 (4 Points)

A high-frequency financial trading platform is operating under strict regulatory supervision in multiple jurisdictions. The system follows a scaled Agile framework with continuous integration, bi-weekly internal releases, and quarterly audited production releases.

The existing test measurement and reporting process includes:

- A Release Quality Confidence Index (RQCI) derived by multiplying:
  - Percentage of passed test cases (ratio scale)
  - Weighted average defect severity (ordinal scale mapped 1–4)
  - Automation coverage percentage (ratio scale)
- Defect Detection Percentage (calculated post-release)
- Escaped defect trend per quarter
- Open defect burndown per sprint
- Test case execution progress (planned vs. executed)

Concerns were recently raised by executives and compliance officers that:

1. Release decisions have been approved despite an upward trend in escaped defects.
2. The RQCI score has remained stable even when post-release failure rates increased.
3. Severity classification differs between trading engine teams and settlement module teams.
4. CI/CD dashboards automatically aggregate metrics without documented validation checkpoints.
5. Test reports are distributed weekly, yet executive steering meetings occur mid-sprint.
6. No documented review exists of metric baselines.

You are asked to evaluate the effectiveness of the current test measurement and reporting process.

Which TWO evaluation actions MOST comprehensively demonstrate an expert-level assessment of the effectiveness of the current test measurement and test reporting process in this context?

a) Critically analyze whether the Release Quality Confidence Index violates measurement-scale constraints by combining ordinal severity mappings with ratio-scale metrics using multiplicative operations, assess whether inconsistent severity classification undermines metric reliability across teams, and evaluate whether the derived index meaningfully correlates with actual escaped defect trends and regulatory failure exposure.
b) Systematically assess whether the current test reporting frequency and dashboard refresh cadence are synchronized with executive steering decision cycles, analyze whether timing misalignment contributes to release approvals that overlook emerging defect trends, and evaluate whether earlier availability of metrics would have materially altered go decisions.
c) Conduct structured stakeholder utility analysis to determine whether executive steering committees rely on the metrics of the test reports for release authorization, evaluate whether test report timing aligns with decision-making cycles, and identify whether presentation format or aggregation obscures emerging risk patterns relevant to regulatory compliance.
d) Perform a structured evaluation of the breadth and balance of the existing metric portfolio to determine whether an overemphasis on pass rates and composite indices has unintentionally marginalized leading risk indicators, and assess whether the current measurement model sufficiently captures predictive signals related to regulatory exposure and systemic defect propagation.
e) Review the existence and adequacy of documented baselines, verify traceability of dashboard data to source systems with defined validation checkpoints, and assess whether

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

measurement procedures are standardized and consistently executed across trading and settlement teams.

Select TWO options

Question #40 (1 Point)

You are the Test Management Expert (TME) for a healthcare claims processing system delivered to a government regulator in a European country. The project runs Scrum with two-week sprints. The internal team includes testers, developers, DevOps engineers, and a Product Owner. External stakeholders include the Ministry of Health, an independent regulatory auditor, a contractual oversight committee, and the client's executive sponsor.

Current reporting practices include:

• A daily automated CI dashboard showing:
  - Test execution progress
  - Failed automated regression tests
  - Defects by severity
  - Blocked test cases

• A sprint-end slide deck summarizing:
  - Overall defect trends
  - Risk level
  - Release readiness confidence
  - Compliance coverage percentage

• A detailed spreadsheet exported weekly from the defect management tool
• A milestone-based formal "Test Completion & Compliance Report" signed by the company governance before submission to regulators

Recently, confusion arose when the regulatory auditor requested access to the daily CI dashboard, which the developers considered an internal coordination tool. Which statement BEST distinguishes between internal and external test reporting in this context?

a) The daily CI dashboard and weekly defect spreadsheet are internal test reports because they provide high-frequency, detailed, technically focused information intended to support defect resolution, sprint-level coordination, and operational decision-making within the project team rather than demonstrating contractual compliance or regulatory accountability.
b) The sprint-end slide deck summarizing defect trends, risk level, release readiness confidence, and compliance coverage should be considered an internal test report because it consolidates metrics derived from operational test execution data and reflects information originally generated by the test team's day-to-day activities.
c) The milestone-based formal Test Completion & Compliance Report signed by QA governance and submitted to regulators is an external test report because it provides formal, business-focused, milestone-level evidence of quality status, compliance achievement, and release readiness intended for oversight and accountability beyond the project team.
d) Providing the regulatory auditor with access to the daily CI dashboard would effectively convert the dashboard into an external test report because any report that is viewed by stakeholders outside the core Scrum team automatically becomes classified as external regardless of its content, structure, or intended purpose.

Select ONE option

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #41 (2 Points)

You are the Test Management Expert for a nationwide taxation modernization program delivered to the Ministry of Finance of a country. The upcoming release must be authorized by the Quality Governance Board.

The board requires a dashboard view that supports a release readiness decision, based on:
• Total defect volume per functional component (5 components)
• Distribution of defects by severity (Critical, High, Medium, Low)
• Visibility of which components carry the greatest residual risk burden
• Clear identification of components whose defect composition may jeopardize release approval
• A single consolidated visualization suitable for board-level discussion

Important constraints:
• They must understand both overall exposure and severity composition.
• The visualization must avoid cognitive overload.
• It must clearly support a release go/no-go decision at component level.
• Time trend analysis will not be required.

Which visualization approach MOST effectively supports the board's release readiness decision in this scenario?

a) A stacked bar chart presenting each functional component as a single bar subdivided into severity categories, enabling simultaneous visualization of total defect volume and internal severity composition, thereby allowing the board to compare overall residual risk level and assess release readiness implications across components within one integrated and decision-focused representation.
b) A series of individual pie charts—one per functional component—showing proportional defect severity distribution within each component, accompanied by a summary indicator referencing release readiness thresholds so that governance members can evaluate whether the dominant severity categories within each module align with predefined go/no-go quality criteria.
c) A grouped bar chart displaying severity categories as adjacent bars within each functional component cluster, supplemented by a horizontal release readiness threshold marker for critical and high defects, enabling the board to compare absolute severity counts across components while explicitly referencing whether those counts exceed acceptable release authorization limits.
d) A detailed tabular matrix listing defect counts by severity and functional component, enhanced with conditional color formatting aligned to release readiness tolerance levels, allowing governance stakeholders to review precise quantitative exposure data while visually identifying components whose aggregated defect burden may compromise release approval.

Select ONE option

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #42 (3 Points)

A multinational healthcare technology company is preparing for a regulatory milestone review of its cloud-based patient monitoring platform. The project is in late system testing.

The following stakeholder groups require test progress information within the same week:

- Executive Steering Committee (ESC): Concerned about release readiness, regulatory exposure, reputational risk, and investment protection. Members are non-technical and time-constrained.
- Program Manager and Development Leads: Need insight into blocking defects, regression testing stability, test environment bottlenecks, and resource utilization.
- The platform is subject to regulatory audits requiring traceable documentation.
- A live test dashboard exists with drill-down capability.
- The organization recently experienced executive concern about “information overload” in test reports.

As the Test Management Expert (TME), you must decide how to communicate test progress effectively to maximize clarity, decision support, and stakeholder trust.

Which TWO options represent the MOST appropriate combination of reporting level and media in this situation?

a) Provide a strategic-level static PDF executive summary highlighting business risk level, regulatory compliance status, milestone trend charts, and overall quality indicators, supplemented by a separate tactical dashboard review session for the Program Manager and Development Leads.
b) Deliver a structured executive dashboard configured with filtered high-level KPIs and simplified visualizations for the ESC, while enabling drill-down capability for those executives who wish to explore regulatory traceability and defect distribution patterns in more detail.
c) Conduct a live presentation to the Executive Steering Committee using a concise slide deck with high-level KPIs and regulatory risk positioning, while maintaining a continuously updated tactical dashboard for delivery leads to monitor regression testing health and blocking defects.
d) Distribute a formally archived integrated milestone report that combines strategic KPIs, summarized tactical defect trend sections, and a condensed operational appendix, ensuring that all stakeholders receive a unified and consistent test progress narrative aligned to regulatory expectations.
e) Organize a facilitated cross-level milestone alignment meeting in which executives are first presented with strategic KPIs and then invited to observe selected operational dashboard views to enhance transparency and demonstrate governance control over detailed defect management.

Select TWO options.

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #43 (2 Points)

A telecommunications company is experiencing inconsistent defect arrival rates during system testing of a new billing engine. Over the past 12 weeks, the number of defects detected per week has fluctuated between 45 and 52, which historically has been considered stable performance.

However, in the last eight weeks, the weekly defect counts were:
46, 48, 50, 51, 53, 55, 57, 59

The process historically operated with:
• Centerline (CL): 48 defects/week
• Upper control limit (UCL): 60 defects/week
• Lower control limit (LCL): 36 defects/week

No individual data point exceeds the UCL or drops below the LCL. Senior management assumes the process is still under control because no point exceeds control limits. As the Test Management Expert (TME), you must interpret the data and determine the most appropriate quality control action.

Which of the following is the MOST appropriate application of a quality control tool in this situation?

a) Construct a Pareto chart comparing defect categories across the most recent eight weeks to determine whether a specific defect type has gradually increased in frequency, thereby explaining the upward weekly totals and enabling targeted corrective prioritization.
b) Apply control chart pattern analysis to evaluate whether eight successive increasing data points constitute a statistically improbable trend, indicating that the defect detection process may be out of control despite remaining within the predefined control limits.
c) Facilitate a structured cause-effect diagram workshop involving development, testing, and configuration management representatives to systematically explore potential systemic causes for the gradual increase in defect counts before concluding that the process is statistically unstable.
d) Develop a scatter plot correlating weekly executed test case volume with defect counts over the same period to determine whether increased test execution rather than process instability accounts for the observed upward pattern.

Select ONE option

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #44 (3 Points)

A global financial software company is performing regression testing for its online banking platform. The Test Management Expert (TME) notices the following during the third week of test execution:

- Automated regression tests for the login and funds transfer components are showing a flakiness rate of 18%, exceeding the expected threshold of 10%.
- Manual exploratory testing shows higher defect density in the loan application module than planned, although coverage metrics indicate 95% of requirements are tested.
- Effort variance data reveals that testers are spending 25% more time than estimated on test execution due to repeated test failures.
- Historical defect data indicates that defects in the loan module tend to escape to production with high business impact.

The project schedule is tight, and management requires an evidence-based recommendation to mitigate risks and adjust test activities

Which TWO actions would be MOST appropriate to control the deviations and manage risk in this situation?

a) Reallocate experienced testers from lower-risk level components to the loan application module to focus exploratory testing where defect density is highest, while adjusting the schedule to accommodate the additional effort required.

b) Intensify monitoring of flakiness in the login and funds transfer components while running additional diagnostic tests on test automation infrastructure and reporting interim findings to stakeholders.

c) Increase monitoring of test flakiness, defect trends, and coverage metrics, and schedule targeted confirmation testing of failed automated tests while adjusting testing priorities iteratively.

d) Implement temporary paired testing to review recent test results collaboratively, identify patterns or root causes behind repeated failures, and use the findings to support immediate risk-based prioritization and corrective actions.

e) Conduct focused exploratory and risk-based testing sessions for the loan application module while maintaining essential regression coverage in lower-risk level areas, using real-time metrics to guide management decisions on priority adjustments.

Select TWO options.

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #45 (2 Points)

A global fintech company is testing a new mobile payment platform. During system testing, the Test Management Expert (TME) observes that the high-risk payment transaction module is not fully tested due to delayed test environment setup. Automated tests for lower-risk level components are running as scheduled. Historical metrics indicate that failures in the payment module have caused severe financial and reputational impact in previous releases. The project deadline is approaching, and stakeholders are concerned about timely delivery.

Which single test control action is MOST appropriate in this situation?

a) Continue executing all automated tests as planned, including low-risk components, while monitoring the high-risk level payment component readiness and preparing mitigation steps for any delayed test execution, so that overall schedule adherence is maintained and residual risk is documented for stakeholder awareness.
b) Reallocate part of the automated test resources from lower-risk level components to high-risk components immediately, while continuing some test execution in parallel for low-risk tests to balance coverage and maintain test progress reporting for management, without formally enforcing a readiness gate.
c) Accelerate test execution of all test cases, including high-risk components, using parallel test execution with partial test environment readiness, while implementing additional defect logging and verification checkpoints to provide stakeholders with early visibility of potential quality risks.
d) Enforce a test readiness gate for the high-risk payment component, temporarily delaying its test execution until the test environment is fully prepared, while reprioritizing lower-risk level tests to utilize available test resources effectively and ensure critical areas receive sufficient testing attention.

Select ONE option

Section: Evaluating Effectiveness, Efficiency and Satisfaction and Improving Test Policy

Question #46 (1 Point)

What is the primary purpose of tracking effectiveness, efficiency, and satisfaction metrics in the test process?

a) Monitor test performance and provide insights into the quality of testware.
b) Monitor test activities and provide feedback on individual test team contributions
c) Monitor test activities and produce documentation for audits and compliance
d) Monitor test performance and provide insights for ongoing process improvement

Select ONE option

Question #47 (2 Points)

During test execution, productivity metrics show a 40% improvement after introducing test monitoring tool, while escaped defect rate has increased and stakeholder satisfaction has declined. Which approach should be applied to identify opportunities for improvement?

a) Expanding test automation coverage and assuming efficiency gains will improve quality results
b) Increase tester capacity to offset quality issues while keeping test automation benefits
c) Stop the test automation initiative and return to manual testing to reduce escaped defects
d) Review how efficiency, effectiveness, and satisfaction metrics influence each other

Select ONE option

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #48 (4 Points)

A Test Management Expert (TME) is evaluating test policy metrics across the organization.

Project A shows an escaped defect rate of 12%, test cycle time of 8 days, and stakeholder satisfaction score of 72%.

Project B shows an escaped defect rate of 7%, test cycle time of 14 days, and stakeholder satisfaction score of 65%.

Which TWO approaches should the TME use to properly evaluate these metrics?

a) Compare the metrics against industry benchmarks showing that well-established QC organizations typically achieve defect escape rates under 10% and top-quartile test teams have 85-95% defect removal efficiency
b) Immediately conclude that Project B is more effective because it has lower defect escape rate, regardless of other factors
c) Normalize the metrics by accounting for factors such as test team size, product complexity, release cycle length, and test automation maturity before making comparisons
d) Focus evaluation exclusively on the satisfaction scores since stakeholder perception is the only metric that matters for business value
e) Declare Project A superior based solely on its higher stakeholder satisfaction score without investigating the underlying causes

Select TWO options

Question #49 (2 Points)

During a managerial review, a Test Management Expert TME presents findings showing that after implementing a new test policy six months ago, defect escape rate improved from 12% to 6%, but stakeholder satisfaction scores dropped from 78% to 62%, and the test team reports increased workload stress. The review participants begin debating whether to revert the test policy changes.

What should the TME do to facilitate effective test policy assessment?

a) Recommend reverting to the previous test policy to restore stakeholder satisfaction while preserving delivery stability
b) Apply structured Root Cause Analysis techniques to evaluate the decline in satisfaction alongside improvements in phase containment
c) Delay any policy decision until additional performance data is collected to confirm whether current trends persist
d) Defend the current test policy by emphasizing defect reduction as the primary indicator of testing success

Select ONE option

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Question #50 (3 Points)

A legal tech organization is providing digital platforms across multiple continents.

Following a managerial review of the company's test policy, the Test Maturity Model integration (TMMi) assessment revealed that:
The organization is currently at Level 2 maturity, with partially documented processes and inconsistent risk-based planning.

Root causes of testing inefficiencies include incomplete traceability, delayed reporting of test metrics, and inconsistent application of test levels across teams.

Current metrics emphasize technical KPIs (defect escape rates, test execution rates) but lack a clear link to business outcomes like revenue impact, operational risk, or delivery predictability.

The Management Expert TME must create a concrete, actionable improvement plan, leveraging TMMi, TPI Next, PDCA, IDEAL, and S.M.A.R.T. goals, that pilots incremental improvements, embeds continuous improvement, and translates technical metrics into strategic business value for executive reporting.

Based on the scenario, which of the following approaches BEST demonstrates how the TME should formulate a test policy improvement plan after the managerial review?

a) Develop a structured improvement plan by mapping TMMi Level 2 gaps to Level 3 key practices, defining GQM-based metrics for risk-based testing and traceability, creating S.M.A.R.T. goals such as reducing critical customer-reported defects by measurable targets within six months, piloting improvements using the PDCA cycle on a subset of projects, linking results to business impact metrics such as revenue risk and delivery predictability, and preparing concise executive dashboards while embedding continuous improvement into team practices

b) Focus on strengthening both technical and operational visibility by enhancing dashboards with comprehensive KPIs for coverage, defect density, and test execution efficiency, while centralizing all compliance and process documentation in a repository, conducting regular team-wide training sessions on these metrics, and providing quarterly executive briefings that summarize historical trends and process compliance, assuming that increased transparency alone will drive organizational maturity without explicitly iterating and piloting improvement initiatives.

c) Prioritize enterprise-wide standardization of test processes across all teams by applying TPI Next best practices, implementing uniform documentation templates and workflow procedures, while establishing a centralized review function to reconcile metrics post-project completion, under the premise that consistent procedures and retrospective metric analysis will effectively elevate maturity, without integrating iterative PDCA-based piloting or measurable S.M.A.R.T. improvement goals.

d) Concentrate on building a comprehensive knowledge base of all historical testware, including metrics, defects, and coverage reports, designing in-depth analytical dashboards for executives, and linking data to industry benchmarks, believing that extensive historical insight and metric sophistication will sufficiently inform improvement planning, without translating findings into actionable, measurable, piloted process enhancements or explicitly connecting outcomes to business value.

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Section: Ethical Considerations

Question #51 (1 Point)

The Test Management Expert TME discovers significant security defects during system testing, but the project sponsor requests that the test report emphasize positive findings to secure additional funding for the next phase. The sponsor argues this is in the organization's best interest.

According to the fundamental ethical principles, which approach best demonstrates the TME's professional integrity?

a) Comply and emphasize positive findings because the sponsor represents project leadership
b) Provide an accurate test report including all defects and document any pressure to alter test results
c) Prepare a positive summary for the sponsor and a full version for internal technical teams
d) Delay the test report until defects are resolved, then provide a more favorable assessment

Question #52 (3 Points)

The Test Management Expert TME is overseeing testing for a financial services application. During the project, the following situations occur:

Situation 1: A senior tester, who is the TME's close friend, consistently underperforms but receives the same performance ratings as high-performing test team members. Other testers have noticed this disparity.

Situation 2: The TME discovers a minor usability defects that affects user experience but doesn't impact core functionality. The defect is documented in the defect management system and scheduled for the next release based on priority.

Situation 3: A vendor offers the TME an expensive gift and suggests that their company would be "very interested" in being selected for the next test tool procurement.

Situation 4: Due to budget constraints, the TME must reduce test scope. They allocate the most critical test tasks to test team members based on proven expertise and past performance metrics.

Situation 5: The TME learns about inefficient test practices in another test team. However, sharing improvement suggestions would require disclosing proprietary test methodologies covered by their project's Non-disclosure agreement (NDA).

Which TWO options below list situations that are presenting ethical circumstances along with their appropriate corrective actions?

a) Situation 1 - requires implementing fair performance evaluation processes and addressing favoritism
b) Situation 2 - requires immediately escalating the usability defects to senior management
c) Situation 3 - requires politely declining the gift and removing oneself from the procurement decision
d) Situation 4 - requires redistribution of tasks to ensure equal opportunities for all test team members
e) Situation 5 - requires maintaining strict confidentiality as stipulated in the NDA

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board

Appendix: Additional Questions

None

n

© International Software Testing Qualifications Board